

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
ASHEVILLE DIVISION  
1:21-cv-00229-MOC-WCM

NICOLE BIGGERSTAFF, individually )  
and as administrator for the ESTATE OF )  
ZACHARY LAMBRIGHT, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

ANGELIA RENE SPENCER, in her )  
individual and official capacities as )  
detention officer of Haywood County, )  
North Carolina, GREG CHRISTOPHER, )  
in his official capacity as Sheriff of )  
Haywood County, North Carolina, )  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH )  
CAROLINA, and JOHN/JANE DOE, any )  
unnamed Defendants that may be revealed )  
through discovery, in their individual and )  
official capacities, )

Defendants. )

**CONSENT PROTECTIVE ORDER**

---

This matter is before the court on the parties' "Joint Motion to Reconsider/Revise Order Denying Joint Motion for Protective Order to Release SBI File" (the "Motion," Doc. 13).

On May 5, 2022, the parties filed a Joint Motion for Release of SBI File (the Motion for Release," Doc. 12). On May 11, 2022, the undersigned directed Plaintiff and/or her counsel to make appropriate filings regarding Mr. White's representation of Plaintiff in this matter, and Plaintiff's position regarding the Motion for Release. Following the expiration of the deadline to make those filings, the Motion for Release was denied without prejudice.

By the instant Motion, the parties request that the court reconsider its previous denial of the Motion for Release and provide the court with addition information regarding Plaintiff's representation by counsel.

The court construes the Motion as a renewed request for the entry of the parties' proposed protective order, grants the Motion, and accepts the proposed protective order.

\*\*\*

Counsel for the parties herein and the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation (hereinafter "SBI") stipulate to the entry of this Protective Order allowing release of SBI File No. 2019-02411 to counsel for the parties herein based upon the following facts and conditions:

1. The parties, in the course of this action, have requested the release of the SBI investigative file into the incident which occurred on August 19, 2019 regarding Zachary Lambright.
2. The SBI investigative file appears to be material and relevant to the subject matter involved in this matter.
3. The following definitions apply herein:
  - a. “SBI Report” refers to the materials contained in SBI File No 2019-02411, including, but not limited to, any statements, photographs and/or videos taken in conjunction with the investigation into the aforementioned death.
  - b. “Document” means all writings, drawings, graphs, charts, recordings, and any other documents as defined in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
  - c. “Material” means any document, any answer to any interrogatory or other discovery request in this action, any portion of any deposition (including deposition exhibits) in this action, and any other information produced, given, or filed in this action.
  - d. “Parties” means Plaintiffs and Defendants in this action.
4. Counsel for the SBI, after reviewing this file and related documents, has determined that the SBI has no objection to producing the SBI Report to the parties for the following reasons:
  - a. No policy reason exists for denial of the request of the parties for production of this material.
  - b. There is no prejudice to the SBI by releasing this material.
  - c. There are no identities of persons contained in this material which need to be protected, and
  - d. Any criminal investigation which might have been the subject of this file has been concluded.
5. Except as may be otherwise provided by further order of the Court, documents contained within the SBI Report shall be used for no purpose other than prosecuting or defending this action and shall be disclosed only to the persons identified below.
  - a. The Court and its staff.
  - b. The parties and attorneys of record for the parties, and their legal assistants; other staff members, and law student clerks; insurers, their agents and employees; outside companies engaged by attorneys for the parties to photocopy such documents.

- c. Any potential or actual deposition or trial witness when the documents are materially related to the questions asked to or testimony of such witness.
  - d. Mediators.
  - e. Consultants and technical experts involved in the preparation of this action.
  - f. Court reporters, their transcribers, assistants and employees.
  - g. The jury and trier of fact.
6. In addition, the above individuals and entities that are permitted access to the SBI Report materials and information are hereby ordered not to show, convey or reproduce any documents so designated or parts thereof, or copies thereof, or any matter contained therein, or any extracts or summaries thereof, to any individual, or to any entity that would not otherwise have access to said documents under the provisions of this Protective Order, except to the qualified persons listed above.
7. Counsel may make copies of material contained within the SBI Report for Plaintiffs or Defendants' experts upon receiving from said experts a written agreement that they will be bound by the terms of this Protective Order. The requirement of obtaining such an agreement shall be satisfied by having each of Plaintiffs or Defendants' experts read, acknowledge and agree in writing to be bound by this Protective Order. A file of all such written acknowledgments shall be maintained by Plaintiff and/or Defendants' counsel. By signing the declaration agreeing to be bound by this Protective Order, each of Plaintiffs or Defendants' experts submits himself or herself to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of enforcement of this Protective Order.
8. The production or disclosure of documents and materials in the SBI Report, pursuant to the terms of this Order, shall not waive or prejudice the right of any party to object to the production or admissibility of documents or information on any applicable grounds.
9. This Protective Order shall not prevent any party, or the SBI, from applying to the Court for relief from this Protective Order or from applying to the Court for further or additional Protective Orders, or from agreeing between themselves to modification of this Protective Order, with the concurrence of the SBI and subject to the approval of the Court.

Signed: June 27, 2022



W. Carleton Metcalf  
United States Magistrate Judge

